

I. Remarks

In the above noted Office Action, the examiner pointed out that the previously filed Amendment included new claims 139-147, but failed to explicitly identify the species to which these claims were directed and failed to discuss the claims in the response. By way of this response that oversight is being corrected.

In the prior response transmitted on November 1, 2007, new claims 139-147 were added. The newly added claims are deemed to be readable upon the elected species, namely Species I illustrated in Figure 4 and drawn to a vehicle with four driven wheels.

In that the newly added claims depend directly or indirectly from either independent claims 108, 120 or 132, these claims, by virtue of their dependencies, are at least allowable for the same reasons.

Conclusion

In view of the above remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the objections and rejections should be withdrawn. Since no rejection of the claims has been made on prior art grounds, it is also submitted that the claims are now in allowable form, and allowance of the claims is therefore requested. If the examiner believes a telephone conversation would expedite this application, the examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at 734-302-6038.

Respectfully submitted,

February 19, 2008
Date

/Eric J. Sosenko/
Eric J. Sosenko (Reg. No. 34,440)